

The University of Kansas

Constant of the second

RECEIVE

Kansas Biological Survey

April 7, 1988

APR 1 2 1988

Ms. Alice Fuerst U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Superfund Branch 726 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101

REMD SECTION

Dear Alice:

I would like to thank you for providing a copy of the Groundwater and Surface Water Operable Unit Feasibility Study of the Galena Subsite for my inspection. I have reviewed all 3 volumes and, in general, find that the data generated by the contractor are in agreement with data that I have collected from the subsite over the past three years. I also agree, for the most part, with their conclusions regarding the biological ramifications to surface water biota. I feel that the feasibility study was well conceived and that the assessment was adequately conducted. I congratulate you on a job well done.

My concerns for the Galena subsite center around the successfulness of any remediation attempts in terms of improvements of habitat conditions for aquatic biota. As a recommendation, I would like to see a strategy developed to evaluate the improvements in aquatic habitat that result from remediation attempts. I would be glad to lend assistance and/or expertise to development of such a strategy. I would also suggest, however, that an independent contractor be used to evaluate the success of the remediation efforts, rather than using parties that are already involved, and to a certain extent, have a "vested interest" in the post-remediation evaluations.

Assis I thould now for your villingness to share the report with me, and compliment assist from this point

Ferringle-/ Faces - Comments

- H-7-88

37

S00023093 SUPERFUND RECORDS